

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First named Applicant: Robert Douglas Christiansen

Application No.: 10/620,067 (CONF 7123)

Filed: 7/14/2003

Title: Automatically Configuring a Raster Image
Processor

Attorney Docket No.: 100204030-1

Group Art Unit:
2609Examiner:
Hilina S. KassaRESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Applicant presents this filing responsive to the notice of non-compliant appeal brief dated June 5, 2009. In the notice, it was stated that “the argument section must match the grounds section inasmuch as each ground corresponds to a heading within the argument section,” and in this respect concluded that the “brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal.” Applicant respectfully disagrees with this conclusion.

There are three grounds of rejection noted in the appeal brief (see p. 4). There are further three sections in the appeal brief corresponding with these three grounds of rejection, each denoted with a separate heading. The first section starts on page 5, under the heading “First ground of rejection.” The second section is on page 13, under the heading “Second ground of rejection.” The third section is also on page 13, under the heading “Third ground of rejection.”

Therefore, contrary to the notice, the brief does indeed present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection. If further explanation is required, it is respectfully requested that Applicant’s representative, Mike Dryja, be contacted at the number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,



Michael Dryja, Reg. No. 39,662
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

July 5, 2009
Date

Michael Dryja, Esq.
Law Offices of Michael Dryja
1474 N Cooper Rd #105-248
Gilbert, AZ 85233

tel: 425-427-5094
fax: 425-563-2098